arXiv:1603.06865v2 [math.AT] 27 May 2016

LANDWEBER FLAT REAL PAIRS AND $ER(n)$-COHOMOLOGY.

NITU KITCHLOO, VITALY LORMAN, AND W. STEPHEN WILSON

ABSTRACT. We take advantage of the internal algebraic structure of the Bockstein spectral sequence converging to $ER(n)^*(pt)$ to prove that for spaces Z that are part of Landweber flat real pairs with respect to $E(n)$ (see Definition 2.8), the cohomology ring $ER(n)^*(Z)$ can be obtained from $E(n)^*(Z)$ by base change. In particular, our results allow us to compute the Real Johnson-Wilson cohomology of the Eilenberg-MacLane spaces $Z = \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1), \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\mathrm{q}}, 2\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathrm{m})$ for any integers m and q, as well as connective covers of BO: $\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{BSO}, \mathrm{BSpin}$ and $\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries and the general result 4
3. Background: The Bockstein Spectral Sequence: 8
4. Proof of the main theorems 10
5. Examples 12
5.1. Eilenberg-MacLane spaces 14
5.2. Connective covers of BO 15
5.3. Short exact sequences 17
References 18

Date: May 30, 2016.
The first author is supported in part by the NSF through grant DMS 1307875.
The third author would like to thank the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, part of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, for its hospitality and support during part of the research for this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Real Johnson-Wilson theories, $ER(n)$ were first introduced in [HK01] and further developed by the first and third authors in [KW07a]. They are a family of cohomology theories generalizing real K-theory, KO (which, 2-locally, is $ER(1)$). They are not complex-oriented, and their coefficients contain 2-torsion. They are formed by taking fixed points of a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on the complex-oriented Johnson-Wilson theories, $E(n)$. As such, the Real Johnson-Wilson theories have proved to be remarkably amenable to computations. For example, their properties were exploited in [KW08a, KW08b, Ban13] to demonstrate some new nonimmersions of real projective spaces.

The goal of this paper is to describe a class of spaces, which includes certain Eilenberg-MacLane spaces and connective covers of BO, whose $ER(n)$-cohomology may be computed from $E(n)$-cohomology entirely formally by means of base change. This paper adds to a growing list of spaces whose $ER(n)$-cohomology is now known: [KW08a, KW08b, Ban13, KW15b, Lor16, KLW16a] all provide further examples.

The very statement of our general result requires an extensive collection of highly technical definitions. However, the statement for our examples only requires one straightforward, but exotic, definition.

Recall the coefficients for the $p = 2$ Johnson-Wilson theory $E(n)$,

$$E(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_n^{\pm 1}], \text{ with } |v_i| = -2(2^i - 1).$$

Definition 1.1. Let $\lambda = \lambda(n) = 2^{n+2}(2^{n-1} - 1) + 1$. For $E(n)^*(Z)$ concentrated in even degrees, we define an endomorphism of $E(n)^*(Z)$ as follows. If $z \in E(n)^{2k}(Z)$, we send z to $\hat{z} := zv_n^{k(2^n-1)} \in E(n)^{k(1-\lambda)}(Z)$. Note that this is a map of rings (but not graded rings), and it is in fact injective since v_n is a unit (see the following remark for the anomalous case of $n = 1$). We denote its image by $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z)$. It is thus a subalgebra of $E(n)^*(Z)$ and is isomorphic to $E(n)^*(Z)$.

In the case where Z is a point, there is a canonical lift of $\hat{E}(n)^* \subset E(n)^*$ to a subalgebra of $ER(n)^*$ along the inclusion of fixed points map $ER(n)^* \longrightarrow E(n)^*$. In particular, $\hat{v}_k$ maps to $v_k v_n^{-(2^n-1)(2^k-1)}$ in $E(n)^*$.

Remark 1.2. As observed in [KW15a], the case $n = 1$ is anomalous since $1-\lambda = 0$. Indeed, the image of $E(1)^*(Z)$ under the above (hat) map is the entire subalgebra $E(1)^0(Z)$ given by elements in homogeneous degree zero and the map is not injective in this case. Nevertheless, we define $\hat{E}(1)^*(Z)$ to be $E(1)^0(Z)$ for the rest of the paper and our arguments will go through with only minor changes as indicated.

We can now state the main applications of our general result. Keep in mind that $E(n)^*(Z)$ is known for all of the examples. The space $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ denotes the connective cover of the first Pontrjagin class $p_1 : \mathrm{BSpin} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Z be any of the spaces $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1)$, $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\mathrm{q}}, 2\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathrm{m})$ for arbitrary m, or the connective covers $\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{BSO}, \mathrm{BSpin}, \widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$. Then the subalgebra $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z)$ of $E(n)^*(Z)$ lifts to a subalgebra of $ER(n)^*(Z)$ which we denote by the same name and which is formally isomorphic to $E(n)^*(Z)$ (see remark 1.2 for $n = 1$). Furthermore, the canonical map*

$$ER(n)^* \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(Z),$$

is an isomorphism of graded algebras for all n.

Remark 1.4. For the spaces Z above, much is known about their $E(n)$-cohomology. For example, for the $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, q)$, the Morava K-theory is known from [RW80]. Then [RWY98] goes on to show that this implies $BP^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, q))$ and $E(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, q))$ are Landweber flat. From this it is straightforward to compute the $E(n)$-cohomology completed at the ideal $I = (2, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$. Things are more complicated without completion. Following [RWY98], there are spaces and maps $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, q) \longrightarrow X_q \longrightarrow Y_q$ such that $E(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, q))$ is the algebra quotient of $E(n)^*(X_q)$ by the image of $E(n)^*(Y_q)$, where $E(n)^*(X_q)$ and $E(n)^*(Y_q)$ are both power series rings on generators of known degrees (see [KLW04] for the analogous sequences for connective covers of BO). The spaces X_q and Y_q are torsion-free spaces with well understood polynomial cohomology. In principle the map is computable. Practice is another thing (see Section 8.5 of [RWY98]). The extreme difficulties involved with any attempt to describe $E(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, q))$ more explicitly were what led to the definition of Landweber flat real pairs below (Definition 2.8), which is a means of transferring what we do know about $E(n)$-cohomology over to $ER(n)$-cohomology.

Remark 1.5. Since $ER(2)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, m+1))$ and $ER(2)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, m))$ are trivial if $m \geq 2$, the present paper together with the computation of $ER(2)^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ in [Lor16] and the computation of $ER(2)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, 1))$ in [KLW16a] completely describes the $ER(2)$-cohomology of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces.

Remark 1.6. As shown in [HM15], the theory $ER(2)$ is (additively) equivalent to a version of topological modular forms with level structure, $TMF_0(3)$, after suitable completion.

We also relate our computations by means of some short exact sequences.

Definition 1.7. Given maps of graded, augmented, topological $ER(n)^*$-algebras $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C$, we say that the sequence $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 1$ is a *short exact sequence of completed graded $ER(n)^*$-algebras* if the following is a short exact sequence of $ER(n)^*$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow B\hat{\otimes}I(A) \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $I(A)$ denotes the augmentation ideal of A, and the completed tensor product is taken over $ER(n)^*$.

The values of $ER(n)$-cohomology on the above spaces are compatible in the following sense:

Theorem 1.8. *There exist short exact sequences of completed $ER(n)^*$-algebras:*

$$1 \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,1)) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BSO}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,2)) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BSO}) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BSpin}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BSpin}) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z},3)) \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Remark 1.9. Note in particular that for $n \leq 2$, the spaces $\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ are $ER(n)$-equivalent. This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)$ is $E(n)$ and hence $ER(n)$-acyclic for $n \leq 2$.

Remark 1.10. Laures and Olbermann [LO] have essentially established the above result for the $TMF_0(3)$-cohomology of $Z = \mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle$ using techniques of [KW15b]. However, their result only holds after $K(2)$-localization.

2. Preliminaries and the general result

We begin by recalling some definitions. A Real (or genuine $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant) spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ is a family of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-spaces $\underline{\mathbb{E}}_{a+b\alpha}$, indexed over elements $a + b\alpha \in RO(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ where α denotes the sign representation, together with a compatible system of equivariant homeomorphisms

$$\underline{\mathbb{E}}_{a-r+(b-s)\alpha} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^{r+s\alpha}\underline{\mathbb{E}}_{a+b\alpha}$$

where the right hand side denotes the space of pointed maps (endowed with the conjugation action) from the one point compactification of the representation $r + s\alpha$. The reader may refer to [HK01] for more details on Real spectra. We will denote by ER, the homotopy fixed point spectrum of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $\mathbb{E}$. A canonical example of a Real spectrum is $\mathbb{MU}$, whose underlying nonequivariant spectrum is complex cobordism, MU, studied first by Landweber [Lan68] and Araki and Murayama [AM78, Ara79a, Ara79b], and more recently by Hu-Kriz [HK01]. The action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is induced by the complex conjugation action on the pre-spectrum representing $\mathbb{MU}$ in the usual way.

The $p = 2$ Johnson-Wilson theory $E(n)$ lifts to a Real spectrum, $\mathbb{E}(n)$, defined as an $\mathbb{MU}$ module by coning off certain equivariant lifts of the Araki generators v_i for $i > n$, and then inverting the lift of v_n. We shall call these equivariant lifts by the same names, v_i. The Real Johnson-Wilson theories, $ER(n)$, are defined as the homotopy fixed points of $\mathbb{E}(n)$.

The bi-degrees of the equivariant lifts are given by $|v_i| = (2^i - 1)(1 + \alpha)$. By diagonal classes, we will mean homotopy classes in bi-degrees of the form $k(1 + \alpha)$. In [KW07a], the first and third authors observed an invertible class $y(n) \in \pi_{\lambda+\alpha} E(n)$, with $\lambda = \lambda(n) = 2^{n+2}(2^{n-1} - 1) + 1$. We will use this class to shift diagonal classes to integral degrees as we will now describe.

Working with cohomological grading, let $\mathbb{E}$ be a Real ring spectrum with underlying spectrum E. Assume that Y is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-space and let $\mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y)$ denote the sub-ring of diagonal elements in the equivariant $\mathbb{E}$-cohomology of Y i.e. $\mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y) := \pi_0 \operatorname{Maps}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(Y, \underline{\mathbb{E}}_{*(1+\alpha)})$.

Remark 2.1. In [KLW16b] we show that, restricted to the category of equivariant spaces, $\mathbb{E}(n)$ naturally gives rise to a multiplicative cohomology theory valued in commutative $\mathbb{MU}$-algebras. We further show that the map given by forgetting the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action

$$\rho : \mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(n)(-) \longrightarrow E^*(n)(-)$$

is an algebra homomorphism over $\mathbb{MU}^{*(1+\alpha)}(-)$. Note that a stronger statement appears in [HK01] where the authors claim that $\mathbb{E}(n)$ is a homotopy commutative, homotopy associative ring spectrum (see comment 5 on page 349 in loc. cit.). Even though we have not been able to verify that statement, the weaker multiplicative structure described in [KLW16b] suffices to prove all results in this document as well as those in previous articles by the authors.

Now, given a class $z \in \mathbb{E}(n)^{k(1+\alpha)}(Y)$, we may define the product $\widehat{z} := zy(n)^k$, which lives in integral degree $k(1-\lambda)$. Since the underlying nonequivariant class of $y(n)$ is $v_n^{2^n-1}$, this map is an equivariant lift of the endomorphism given in Definition 1.1 in the following sense. Letting $\rho : \mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y) \longrightarrow E^*(Y)$ denote the forgetful ring homomorphism as in the remark above, we notice that the image of ρ belongs to the graded sub-group of elements in even degree. We now have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{ER}(n)^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\ }} & \mathbb{ER}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ E(n)^{2*}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\ }} & E(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } |z| = k(1+\alpha),\ z \mapsto \widehat{z} := zy(n)^k \\ \\ \text{if } |z| = 2k,\ z \mapsto \widehat{z} := zv_n^{k(2^n-1)} \end{array}$$

For a space or spectrum Y, we call $\operatorname{Maps}(E\mathbb{Z}/2_+, Y)$ the completion of Y and say that Y is complete if the map $Y \longrightarrow \operatorname{Maps}(E\mathbb{Z}/2_+, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivalence. As shown by Hu and Kriz in [HK01], the spectra $\mathbb{E}(n)$ are complete. Thus, the homotopy fixed point spectra $ER(n) := \mathbb{E}(n)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ agree with the genuine fixed point spectra $\mathbb{E}(n)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$. By definition we have: $\pi_* ER(n) = \pi_{*+0\alpha}\mathbb{E}(n)$. It is shown in [KW07a] that the homotopy ring of $ER(n)$ is a subquotient of a ring:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[x, \hat{v}_1, \hat{v}_2, \ldots, \hat{v}_{n-1}, v_n^{\pm 1}],$$

where x is an element of $\pi_\lambda ER(n)$. It is 2-torsion and $x^{2^{n+1}-1} = 0$. The classes $\hat{v}_k \in ER(n)_{2^{n+2}(1-2^{n-1})(2^k-1)}$ for $k \leq n$ are the hatted representatives of the diagonal Araki classes mentioned above. The class $\hat{v}_k$ maps to the class $v_k v_n^{-(2^n-1)(2^k-1)}$ under the canonical map from $ER(n)_*$ to $E(n)_*$.

The following property will be essential to generating permanent cycles in our computations.

Definition 2.2. A $\mathbb{Z}/2$-space Y is said to have the weak projective property with respect to a Real spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ if the forgetful map ρ:

$$\rho : \mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y) \longrightarrow E^{2*}(Y),$$

is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups.

Remark 2.3. Notice that if Y has the weak projective property with respect to a complete Real spectrum $\mathbb{E}$, then so does its completion $\mathrm{Maps}(E\mathbb{Z}/2_+, Y)$. Since completion is idempotent (up to equivariant homotopy), we will henceforth assume that all our spaces are complete in this sense whenever $\mathbb{E}$ is also complete.

Let us relate such spaces to the definitions from [KW07b]:

Definition 2.4. A $\mathbb{Z}/2$-space X is said to be projective if

(1) $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is of finite type.
(2) X is homeomorphic to $\bigvee_I (\mathbb{C}P^\infty)^{\wedge k_I}$ for some weakly increasing sequence of integers k_I, with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action given by complex conjugation.

By a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant H-space, we shall mean an H-space whose multiplication map is $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant.

Definition 2.5. A $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant H-space Y is said to have the projective property if there exists a projective space X, along with a pointed $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, such that $H_*(Y;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is generated as an algebra by the image of f.

Spaces with the projective property are not rare because many spaces have homology generated by the images of elements coming from complex projective spaces. Our examples include $\underline{\mathbb{MU}}_{k(1+\alpha)}$, $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}_{k(1+\alpha)}$, and $\underline{\mathbb{BP}\langle n\rangle}_{k(1+\alpha)}$ where this last is only for $k < 2^{n+1}$. These spaces are known to be complete (see [HK01] and the appendix in [KW13]).

As suggested by our notation, spaces with the projective property also have the weak projective property:

Theorem 2.6. *Let Y be a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant H-space with the projective property. Let $\mathbb{E}$ denote any complete Real $\mathbb{MU}$-module spectrum with underlying spectrum E, satisfying the property that the forgetful map: $\rho^* : \mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)} \longrightarrow E^*$, is an isomorphism. Then the space Y has the weak-projective property with respect to $\mathbb{E}$. In other words, the following map is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{MU}^{*(1+\alpha)}$ modules:*

$$\rho : \mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y) \longrightarrow E^*(Y).$$

Two complete spectra that satisfy the above condition, $\mathrm{Maps}(E\mathbb{Z}/2_+, \mathbb{BP}\langle n\rangle)$ and $\mathbb{E}(n)$, will be relevant to us in this paper.

Now given a space Y with the weak projective property, we may invert ρ and then 'hat' elements to construct an isomorphism of rings that scales degrees by $(1-\lambda)/2$:

$$\psi_Y : E(n)^{2*}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y), \qquad z \longmapsto \widehat{\rho^{-1}(z)}$$

Restricting to $Y = pt$, we observe that $\psi(v_k) := \psi_{pt}(v_k) = \hat{v}_k$ for $k \leq n$. Let $\hat{E}(n)^* \subseteq ER(n)^* = \mathbb{E}(n)^*(pt)$ denote the image of ψ. Then ψ gives an abstract isomorphism of rings (but not graded rings) between $E(n)^*$ and $\hat{E}(n)^*$.

Remark 2.7. As in Remark 1.2, we have to treat the case $n = 1$ separately. In this special case, we define the domain of ψ_Y to be $E(1)^0(Y)$ in order to ensure that the map is injective and the following theorems still hold.

We now get to the main definition of this article:

Definition 2.8. Let Z be a (nonequivariant) space. Suppose there exists a complete $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant space Y with the weak projective property with respect to $\mathbb{E}(n)$, equipped with a map $g : Z \longrightarrow Y^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ which satisfies the following properties:

(i) The composite $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \xrightarrow{i} Y$, where i denotes inclusion of fixed points, induces a surjection on $E(n)^*(-)$.
(ii) The composite ψ_Z, defined as the restriction of ψ_Y above along $(i \circ g)^*$,

$$E(n)^{2*}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_Y} \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y) \xrightarrow{(i\circ g)^*} \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z) = ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z)$$

factors through the map $E(n)^{2*}(Y) \xrightarrow{(i\circ g)^*} E(n)^{2*}(Z)$.
Recall that for $n = 1$, by definition, we restrict the domain of ψ_Y to $E(1)^0(Y)$.

Then we call the pair (Y, Z) a *real pair with respect to* $E(n)$. If in addition, the 2-completed BP cohomology of Z is Landweber flat, then we say that the real pair is *Landweber flat*.

The main result of this article is now straightforward to state.

Theorem 2.9. *Let (Y, Z) be a Landweber flat real pair with respect to $E(n)$. Let $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z)$ denote the image of ψ_Y (above) and let $\varphi_Z : E(n)^{2*}(Z) \longrightarrow ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z)$ denote the factorization. Then φ_Z is injective and induces an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$ER(n)^* \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(Z).$$

Note that the notation for the subalgebra $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z)$ of $ER(n)^*(Z)$ does not conflict with the subalgebra of $E(n)^*(Z)$ of the same name in Definition 1.1 as the two subalgebras are isomorphic via $ER(n)^*(Z) \longrightarrow E(n)^*(Z)$.

Remark 2.10. Notice that as a subalgebra of $ER(n)^*(Z)$, $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z)$ does depend on the space Y. However, as noted above, it is abstractly isomorphic to $E(n)^*(Z)$ after a suitable rescaling of the degrees, and its image as a subalgebra of $E(n)^*(Z)$ does not depend on Y. See also Remark 5.5.

Remark 2.11. In the statement of the above theorem we follow the convention introduced in [RWY98] (Definition 1.5) of replacing $E(n)$ by its 2-adic completion when $\lim^1 BP(Z^m)$ is non-zero, where Z^m denote the finite skeleta of Z. This allows us to eradicate any phantom maps in cohomology. All arguments presented in this article also hold after 2-completion and so we may conveniently suppress the completion from the notation. Additionally, as in [RWY98] we denote the completed tensor product over E^*, for any cohomology theory E, by $E^*(Z_1)\hat{\otimes}E^*(Z_2)$. By definition, it is the inverse limit of the tensor products of the E-cohomology of the (skeletal) filtration quotients of $E^*(Z_1)$ and $E^*(Z_2)$.

With the above convention understood, we will also prove the following useful theorem which allows us to generate more computational examples by taking smash products. This lifts the $E(n)$-based Kunneth isomorphism for spaces whose BP-cohomology is Landweber flat (which follows from [Wil99] Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 1.9).

Theorem 2.12. *Assume (Y_a, Z_a), and (Y_b, Z_b) are two Landweber flat real pairs with respect to $E(n)$. Then the pair: $(Y_a \wedge Y_b, Z_a \wedge Z_b)$ is a Landweber flat real pair, and the completed Kunneth isomorphism (over the coefficients) holds in $ER(n)$-cohomology:*

$$ER(n)^*(Z_a \wedge Z_b) = ER(n)^*(Z_a)\widehat{\otimes}ER(n)^*(Z_b).$$

In this article, we will show that several familiar spaces belong to Landweber flat real pairs. This, together with Theorem 2.6, will give the content of Theorem 1.3 above. As examples, we have that, for an arbitrary integer m, the spaces $Z = \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2m+1)$, $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, 2m)$ and $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathrm{m})$ are parts of Landweber flat real pairs with respect to $E(n)$ for arbitrary n. In addition, the connective covers $\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{BSO}, \mathrm{BSpin}, \widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}},$ and $\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle$ also belong to Landweber flat real pairs with respect to $E(n)$, with the last case only holding for $n \leq 2$. Here the space $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ denotes the pullback of the maps $p_1 : \mathrm{BSpin} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ and the Bockstein $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ (see also [KLW04]) and it belongs to the fibrations

$$\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BSpin} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3).$$

3. Background: The Bockstein Spectral Sequence:

The ideas used in this article were implicit in the paper [KW15b] where the first and third authors computed $ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}(q))$. The main tool that was exploited was the internal structure of a spectral sequence known as the Bockstein spectral sequence. Let us briefly recall the construction of this spectral sequence:

In [KW07a], the first and third authors constructed a fibration of spectra:

$$\Sigma^\lambda ER(n) \longrightarrow ER(n) \longrightarrow E(n)$$

with the first map given by multiplication with the $(2^{n+1}-1)$-nilpotent class x described above. Consequently this fibration leads to a convergent spectral sequence which we

call the Bockstein Spectral Sequence. The main properties of this spectral sequence are described explicitly by the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. [KW15b]

(i) For X a spectrum, the above fibration yields a first and fourth quadrant spectral sequence of $ER(n)^*$-modules, $E_r^{i,j}(X) \Rightarrow ER(n)^{j-i}(X)$. The differential d_r has bi-degree $(r, r+1)$ for $r \geq 1$.

(ii) The E_1-term is given by: $E_1^{i,j}(X) = E(n)^{i\lambda+j-i}(X)$, with

$$d_1(z) = v_n^{-(2^n-1)}(1-c)(z), \text{ where } c(v_i) = -v_i.$$

The differential d_r increases cohomological degree by $1 + r\lambda$ between the appropriate sub-quotients of $E(n)^*(X)$.

(iii) For $r < 2^{n+1}$, the targets of the differentials, d_r, represent the image of x^r-torsion generators of $ER(n)^*(X)$ inside $E(n)^*(X)$.

(iv) $E_{2^{n+1}}(X) = E_\infty(X)$, which is described as follows: Filter $M = ER(n)^*(X)$ by $M_i = x^i M$ so that:

$$M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \cdots \supset M_{2^{n+1}-1} = \{0\}.$$

Then $E_\infty^{r,*}(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to M_r/M_{r+1}.

(v) The following are all vector spaces over $\mathbb{Z}/2$:

$$M_i/M_j, \quad j \geq i > 0, \text{ and } E_r^{i,j}(X) \quad r > 1 \text{ and } i > 0.$$

Remark 3.2. When X is a space, notice that there is a canonical class in $\mathrm{E}_1^{1,-\lambda+1}(X)$ corresponding to the unit element under the identification $\mathrm{E}_1^{1,-\lambda+1}(X) = E(n)^0(X)$. This class represents the element $x \in ER(n)^{-\lambda}$, and we call it by the same name. It is a permanent cycle and we may use this class to simplify the notation.

$$\mathrm{E}_1^{*,*}(X) = \mathrm{E}_1^{0,*}(X)[x] = E(n)^*(X)[x], \quad |x| = (1, -\lambda+1).$$

$$d_1(z) = x\, v_n^{-(2^n-1)}(1-c)(z), \text{ where } v_n \in \mathrm{E}_1^{0,-2(2^n-1)}.$$

Furthermore, for a space X, we have the twisted derivation property:

$$d_r(ab) = d_r(a)b + c(a)d_r(b).$$

In particular, if $c(z) = z \in \mathrm{E}_r(X)$, then $d_r(z^2) = 0$, $r > 1$.

Remark 3.3. Depending on whether one truncates the multiplication by x tower, there are two spectral sequences that can arise from the above. One converges to $ER(n)^*(X)$ (as in [KW15b, Lor16]), the other to 0 (as in [KW08a, KW08b, KLW16a]). In the latter case, one must go back to reconstruct the answer from the differentials. Both have their advantages and ultimately contain equivalent information, but it is the truncated BSS converging to $ER(n)^*(X)$ that we use in this paper.

Let us now recall the structure on this spectral sequence as developed in [KW15b]. The Bockstein spectral sequence is a spectral sequence of modules over $ER(n)^*$. Recall the map $\psi : E(n)^* \longrightarrow ER(n)^*$ above defined by $\psi(v_k) = \hat{v}_k$ for $k \leq n$, with $\hat{E}(n)^*$ being defined as the image of ψ. Recall also that $\hat{E}(n)^*$ is abstractly isomorphic to $E(n)^*$ via the map ψ that scales degrees by $(1-\lambda)/2$. It was shown in [KW15b] that:

Theorem 3.4. [KW15b] *Suppose M is Landweber flat $\hat{E}(n)^*$-module, and let (E_*, d_*) denote the Bockstein spectral sequence for $X = pt$. Then $(M \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \mathrm{E}_*, \mathrm{id}_M \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} d_*)$ is a spectral sequence of $ER(n)^*$-modules that converges to $M \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} ER(n)^*$.*

4. Proof of the main theorems

We now proceed to prove the main theorems stated in the introduction.

Proof. (of Theorem 2.6). Recall that by definition of projective property, there is a projective space X so that there is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant pointed map: $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, whose image generates the mod 2 homology. It follows that $H_*(Y, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is even, and so $H_*(Y, \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$ is free. The James construction produces a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant space JX and, since Y is an equivariant H-space, the map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ extends to a map $f' : JX \longrightarrow Y$ given by sending an m-tuple to the product of its components in Y. The map f' is evidently $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant and induces a surjection on $H_*(-; \mathbb{Z}_{(2)})$. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence now shows that $MU_*(JX)$, and $MU_*(Y)$ are free MU_*-modules, and the map $MU_*(JX) \longrightarrow MU_*(Y)$ is split surjective. We may choose a basis $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$ for a subspace of $MU_*(JX)$ on which $MU_*(f')$ is an isomorphism by choosing it on the E_2-page of the spectral sequence. The next step is to pick equivariant representatives for these classes.

The stable splitting $\Sigma^\infty JX \simeq \bigvee_{j\geq 1} (\Sigma^\infty X)^{\wedge j}$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant (see [LMS] VII.5). Now consider the spectral sequence constructed using the cellular filtration of JX induced by the canonical (equivariant) cellular filtration of the projective space X, and converging to $\mathbb{MU}_\star(JX)$ (i.e. the Real Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of [HK01]). In the above stable splitting, each summand is projective, and since $\mathbb{MU}$ is Real-oriented, the spectral sequence collapses for projective spaces. This yields that $\mathbb{MU}_\star(JX)$ is a free $\mathbb{MU}_\star$-module on diagonal generators. Forgetting the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action, these become the generators of $MU_*(JX)$, so we may choose a subset of the diagonal generators which lift the $\gamma_i \in MU_*(JX)$ above. We call these by the same name.

Since $\mathbb{MU}_\star(JX)$ is free, $\mathbb{MU} \wedge JX$ is a free $\mathbb{MU}$-module spectrum on a generating set of finite type: $\mathbb{MU} \wedge JX = \bigvee_i \Sigma^{k_i(1+\alpha)} \mathbb{MU}$ where $\{k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ is a weakly increasing sequence of non-negative integers. The degrees of the (diagonal) γ_i give a suitable subsequence $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$ in $\{k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ so that we get a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant map:

$$\bigvee \Sigma^{\beta_i(1+\alpha)} \mathbb{MU} \longrightarrow Y \wedge \mathbb{MU}$$

which is a (non-equivariant) equivalence. On freeing up our spectra, it follows that we have an equivariant equivalence of $\mathbb{MU}$-module spectra:

$$\bigvee \Sigma^{\beta_i(1+\alpha)} E\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \wedge \mathbb{MU} \longrightarrow E\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \wedge Y \wedge \mathbb{MU}.$$

Mapping out of the above equivalence in the category of $\mathbb{MU}$-module spectra, and using the completeness of $\mathbb{E}$ we have:

$$\mathbb{E}^\star(Y) = \mathbb{E}^\star \langle\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots \rangle\rangle$$

It follows that the forgetful map ρ maps $\mathbb{E}^{*(1+\alpha)}(Y)$ isomorphically onto $E^{2*}(Y)$. □

Remark 4.1. Note that if Y_a and Y_b are spaces with projective property, then $Y_a \wedge Y_b$ is not an H-space and thus does not have the projective property. However, the above proof still shows that $Y_a \wedge Y_b$ does have the weak projective property. This is used in the proof of Theorem 2.12 below.

Let us proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.9.

Proof. (of Theorem 2.9). Let Z be a space whose 2-completed BP-cohomology is Landweber flat. Then, by Proposition 2.1 of [Wil99], $E(n)^*(Z)$ is Landweber flat with respect to $E(n)$ as well. Assume that Z is endowed with a map $g : Z \longrightarrow Y^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ for some space Y with the weak projective property and so that $E(n)^*(Y)$ surjects onto $E(n)^*(Z)$. Finally, assume that the map:

$$\psi_Z : E(n)^{2*}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y) \longrightarrow ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z),$$

factors through $E(n)^{2*}(Z)$. Let $\varphi_Z : E(n)^{2*}(Z) \longrightarrow ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z)$ denote this factorization. The composite of φ_Z and the canonical map $\iota : ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z) \longrightarrow E(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z)$ is nothing other than the algebra homomorphism

$$\iota\varphi_Z : E(n)^{2*}(Z) \longrightarrow E(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z), \qquad z \longmapsto z v_n^{(2^n-1)|z|/2}.$$

which is injective since v_n is invertible. From this it follows that φ_Z is injective. Now notice by definition that the sub algebra $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z) \subseteq ER(n)^*(Z)$ consists of permanent cycles. In addition, $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z)$ is Landweber flat. Hence, invoking Theorem 3.4, we get a map of spectral sequences:

$$\mathrm{E}_r \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z) \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}_r(Z),$$

representing the canonical map $ER(n)^* \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(Z)$, and where E_r denotes the Bockstein spectral sequence for a point, and $\mathrm{E}_r(Z)$ denotes the Bockstein spectral sequence for the space Z. It is easy to see from the description of the map $\iota\varphi_Z$ that the map of spectral sequences considered above is an isomorphism at the E_1-stage. It is therefore an isomorphism at the E_∞-stage and must hence represent an isomorphism. □

Proof. (of Theorem 2.12). First, observe that the space $Y_a \wedge Y_b$ has the weak projective property by Remark 4.1. Also, the 2-completed BP cohomology of $Z_a \wedge Z_b$ is Landweber flat since the Morava K-theories are evenly graded. Note that by Proposition 2.1 of [Wil99], it follows that the $E(n)$-cohomology of $Z_a \wedge Z_b$ is Landweber flat with respect to $E(n)$ as well.

Define the map g by the composite

$$Z_a \wedge Z_b \xrightarrow{g_a \wedge g_b} Y_a^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \wedge Y_b^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow (Y_a \wedge Y_b)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

We will now prove that (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.8 hold. Consider the cofiber sequences

$$Z_a \xrightarrow{i \circ g_a} Y_a \xrightarrow{h_a} C(i \circ g_a) \text{ and } Z_b \xrightarrow{i \circ g_b} Y_b \xrightarrow{h_b} C(i \circ g_b)$$

By our assumptions, both of these give rise to short exact sequences in $E(n)$-cohomology. It now follows from the fact that all spaces have evenly graded $E(n)$-cohomology that the

above cofibrations give rise to short exact sequences of evenly graded modules in $K(n)$-cohomology. Smashing with the identity maps on Y_b and Z_a, respectively, the following give rise to short exact sequences in $K(n)$-cohomology:

$$Z_a \wedge Y_b \xrightarrow{(i\circ g_a)\wedge 1} Y_a \xrightarrow{h_a \wedge 1} C(i\circ g_a) \wedge Y_b \quad \text{and} \quad Z_a \wedge Z_b \xrightarrow{1\wedge(i\circ g_b)} Y_b \xrightarrow{1\wedge h_b} Z_a \wedge C(i\circ g_b)$$

Invoking [RWY98] Lemma 7.1, we see that these also induce short exact sequence in $E(n)$-cohomology. This establishes the following natural isomorphisms:

$$E(n)^*(Z_a \wedge Z_b) = \frac{E(n)^*(Y_a \wedge Y_b)}{\langle h_a^* + h_b^* \rangle} = \frac{E(n)^*(Y_a)\widehat{\otimes} E(n)^*(Y_b)}{\langle h_a^* + h_b^* \rangle},$$

with the second isomorphism following from the Atiyah-Hirzeburch spectral sequence for Y_a and Y_b which collapses due to the fact that they have evenly graded torsion free singular cohomology. This establishes that validity of conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.8. It remains to show the Kunneth isomorphism for $ER(n)$-cohomology. Notice that for $E(n)$-cohomology, the Kunneth isomorphism is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1.8 and Proposition 1.9 in [Wil99]. The Kunneth isomorphism for $ER(n)$ then follows since $ER(n)^*$ is finitely generated over $\widehat{E}(n)^*$ (with an obvious adjustment in the case when $n = 1$). □

5. Examples

We now apply our main theorem to some examples. As we will see, the spaces $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1)$, $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\mathrm{q}}, 2\mathrm{m})$ and $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathrm{m})$, and the connective covers $\mathrm{BO}, \mathrm{BSO}, \mathrm{BSpin}, \widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ are all part of Landweber flat pairs with respect to $E(n)$. In each of our examples, we use an auxiliary space Y_1 with the projective property together with an equivariant H-map $h : Y \longrightarrow Y_1$ to produce the desired factorization φ_Z. Specifically, we will produce sequences of equivariant H-maps (where Z has trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action)

$$Z \xrightarrow{i\circ g} Y \xrightarrow{h} Y_1$$

satisfying the following properties:

Conditions 5.1.

(1) Y and Y_1 are complete spaces with the projective property,
(2) The composite $h \circ (i \circ g)$ is equivariantly null-homotopic,
(3) the sequence induces a left exact sequence of bicommutative Hopf algebras in Morava K-theory, $K(m)_*(-)$ for all $m > 0$.

These conditions will ensure that (Z, Y) is a real pair as follows. Conditions (1) and (3) show that Z has even Morava $K(m)$-homology for $m > 0$ (even Morava K-theory, for short). It follows that $E(n)^*(Z)$ is Landweber flat. We claim that the sequence of spaces $Z \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Y_1$ induces a right exact sequence of completed, graded $E(n)^*$-algebras. We will prove this from the following lemma, an application of [RWY98].

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that the sequence*

$$X_1 \xrightarrow{a} X_2 \xrightarrow{b} X_3$$

induces a right exact sequence (of $K(m)^*$-modules) in $K(m)^*$-cohomology for all $m > 0$, X_2 and X_3 have even Morava K-theory, and $b \circ a \simeq *$. Then it induces a right exact sequence (of $E(n)^*(-)$-modules) in $E(n)$-cohomology. The same is true if right exact is replaced by short exact.

Proof. Consider the cofiber sequence $X_1 \xrightarrow{a} X_2 \xrightarrow{c} C(a)$. Note that since a^* is surjective in $K(m)^*(-)$ for all $m > 0$, the above sequence must be short exact in $K(m)^*(-)$, and so $C(a)$ has even Morava K-theory and c^* must be injective in $K(n)^*(-)$. It follows from [RWY98] Lemma 7.1 that c^* is injective in $E(n)^*(-)$. Hence, the sequence $X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow C(a)$ is short exact in $E(n)^*(-)$.

Since $b \circ a \simeq *$, we have a factorization of b through $p : C(a) \longrightarrow X_3$. We claim that p is surjective in $E(n)^*(-)$. A diagram chase shows it is surjective in $K(m)^*(-)$ for all $m > 0$. Now consider the cofiber sequence $C(a) \longrightarrow X_3 \longrightarrow C(p)$. It must induce a short exact sequence in $K(m)^*(-)$ and so $C(p)$ has even Morava K-theory. In particular, in $K(n)$-cohomology we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K(n)^*(C(p)) \longrightarrow K(n)^*(X_3) \xrightarrow{p^*} K(n)^*(C(a)) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since the left hand map is injective in $K(n)^*(-)$ and $C(p)$ and X_3 have even Morava K-theory, applying [RWY98] Lemma 7.1 again shows that the left hand map is injective in $E(n)^*(-)$. It follows that the sequence $C(a) \longrightarrow X_3 \longrightarrow C(p)$ is short exact in $E(n)^*(-)$ and in particular p^* is surjective. Splicing X_3 into the sequence $X_1 \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow C(a)$ along $p : C(a) \longrightarrow X_3$ gives us the right exact sequence in $E(n)^*(-)$ we desired. The extension of this result to the short exact situation follows immediately using Lemma 7.1 in [RWY98]. □

We now follow the proof of Theorem 1.9 in [RWY98]. We construct the sequence

$$Z \xrightarrow{i \circ g} Y \xrightarrow{F:=(1,h)} Y_+ \wedge Y_1$$

with $F \circ (i \circ g) \simeq *$. This must induce a right exact sequence (of $K(m)^*$-modules) in $K(m)^*(-)$ for all $m > 0$ by condition (3). Since Y and Y_1 have the projective property, the right two spaces have even Morava K-theory and so we may apply the above lemma to obtain a right exact sequence in $E(n)^*(-)$. We have a completed Kunneth isomorphism (from the collapse of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence)

$$E(n)^*(Y_+ \wedge Y_1) = E(n)^*(Y) \hat{\otimes} IE(n)^*(Y_1)$$

which shows $Z \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Y_1$ induces a right exact sequence of completed, graded $E(n)^*$-algebras as desired.

We now have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
E(n)^{2*}(Y_1) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\psi_{Y_1}} & \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y_1) & \xrightarrow{i} & ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y_1^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle h^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h^*} \\
E(n)^{2*}(Y) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\psi_{Y}} & \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y) & \xrightarrow{i} & ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Y^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (i\circ g)^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (i\circ g)^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (i\circ g)^*} \\
E(n)^{2*}(Z) & \xrightarrow{\exists \varphi_Z} & \mathbb{E}(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z) & = & ER(n)^{*(1-\lambda)}(Z)
\end{array}$$

Exactness (as completed, graded algebras) of the left vertical column together with condition (2) now yield the desired factorization φ_Z. It follows that (Y, Z) is a Landweber flat real pair and so the conclusion of Theorem 2.6 applies.

5.1. **Eilenberg-MacLane spaces.** For each of the spaces $Z = \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1)$, $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\mathrm{q}}, 2\mathrm{m})$, and $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathrm{m})$, the spaces Y and Y_1 will both be $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle k\rangle_l$ for suitable choices of integers k and l. This proof is essentially a consequence of [RWY98]. We shall prove the result in detail for $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1)$ and indicate the cosmetic changes required to prove the other cases.

Define the map of equivariant infinite loop spaces:

$$\delta_k : \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle k-1\rangle_{(2^k-1)(1+\alpha)+\alpha} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle k\rangle_{(2^{k+1}-1)(1+\alpha)}$$

given by the fiber of multiplication by v_k:

$$v_k : \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle k\rangle_{(2^{k+1}-1)(1+\alpha)} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle k\rangle_{2^k(1+\alpha)}.$$

Now consider the composite map δ defined as:

$$\delta := \delta_{2m-1} \circ \delta_{2m-2} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_1 : \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle_{2m\alpha+1} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 2m-1\rangle_{(2^{2m}-1)(1+\alpha)}.$$

The space $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle_{2m\alpha+1}$ is an equivariant model for $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1)$ with trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action up to homotopy. The homotopy fixed point spectral sequence computing $\pi_*(\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2m\alpha+1})$, which collapses immediately since it is concentrated on a single line, thus yields that the top degree homotopy group is $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ in degree $2m+1$. The top stage of the Postnikov tower for $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2m\alpha+1}$ now gives a map $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2m\alpha+1}$ which splits the canonical map $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2m\alpha+1} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle_{2m\alpha+1} = \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2m+1)$ uniquely up to homotopy.

We now choose our triple to be

$$(Z, Y, Y_1) = \left(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1), \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 2m-1\rangle_{(2^{2m}-1)(1+\alpha)}, \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 2m-1\rangle_{2^{2m-1}(1+\alpha)}\right).$$

We define the map $g : Z \longrightarrow Y^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ to be the splitting constructed above followed by δ:

$$\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2m\alpha+1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 2m-1\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{(2^{2m}-1)(1+\alpha)}$$

We choose $h : Y \longrightarrow Y_1$ to be the unstable map induced by v_{2m-1}-multiplication on the level of spectra. Since $v_{2m-1} \circ \delta$ is equivariantly trivial, condition (2) follows. Condition (1) follows from [KW13](Appendix). Finally, condition (3) is Proposition 1.16 in [RWY98]. This establishes the result for $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}, 2\mathrm{m}+1)$.

The same argument works for $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, m)$ when $q \geq 1$ and m is even. Additionally, when $q = 1$, the argument goes through for all m. We simply extend δ by the Bockstein $\delta_0 : (\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle/2^q)_{m\alpha} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle_{m\alpha+1}$:

$$\delta_{m-1} \circ \delta_{m-2} \circ \cdots \circ \delta_1 \circ \delta_0 : (\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle/2^q)_{m\alpha} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle m-1\rangle_{(2^m-1)(1+\alpha)}.$$

We chose $Y = \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle m-1\rangle_{(2^m-1)(1+\alpha)}$ and $Y_1 = \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle m-1\rangle_{2^{m-1}(1+\alpha)}$ and define g and h as before. This observation establishes all other cases proving Theorem 1.3 for the first family of examples.

Remark 5.3. This argument fails for $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, 2m+1)$ for $q > 1$ because $(\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle/2^q)_{(2m+1)\alpha}$ has nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action and so its homotopy fixed points do not have $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, 2m+1)$ as a retract. The remaining Eilenberg-MacLane spaces are not so straightforward. In fact, the results of [Lor16] and [KLW16a] show that the computations of $ER(n)^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ and $ER(2)^* \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, 1)$ both involve actually making sense of Bockstein spectral sequence differentials and do not follow from $E(n)$-cohomology by tensoring up.

5.2. **Connective covers of** BO**.** The spaces BU, BSU, and $\mathrm{BU}\langle 6\rangle$ are equivariantly equivalent to the spaces $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{1+\alpha}$, $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)}$ and $\underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{3(1+\alpha)}$, respectively. Again citing [KW13], these spaces are complete and satisfy the projective property. They will be our choice of Y. Their fixed points admit canonical H-maps from the spaces $Z = \mathrm{BO}$, BSO, and $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ respectively, where the space $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ is defined as the fiber of the first Pontrjagin class on BSpin. Thus one obtains a map $g : Z \longrightarrow Y^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ for each of them. In each case, we choose $Y_1 = Y$ and take the self-map given by $1 - c$, where c denotes the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Our three sequences $Z \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Y_1$ are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{BO} &\xrightarrow{i\circ g} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{1+\alpha} \xrightarrow{1-c} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{1+\alpha} \\
\mathrm{BSO} &\xrightarrow{i\circ g} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)} \xrightarrow{1-c} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)} \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}} &\xrightarrow{i\circ g} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{3(1+\alpha)} \xrightarrow{1-c} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{3(1+\alpha)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $i \circ (1-c)$ is equivariantly null-homotopic, the above sequences all satisfy condition (2). For the first two sequences, condition (3) is Theorem 6.4 of [KLW04].

To prove condition (3) for $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$, we must unravel some results of [KLW04]. Forgetting the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action, the third sequence above is, in the notation of [KLW04], given by a sequence $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}} \longrightarrow \underline{bu}_6 \longrightarrow \underline{bu}_6$ which may be constructed by splicing together the fibrations:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}} \longrightarrow \underline{bu}_6 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{BSO}}_2, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \underline{\mathrm{BSO}}_2 \longrightarrow \underline{bu}_6 \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{BO}}_4.$$

The second fibration above give rise to a short exact sequences of evenly graded bicommutative Hopf algebras in $K(m)$-homology for $m > 0$ by [KLW04] (Theorem 2.3.6). It remains to show that the first fibration also gives rise to such a short exact sequence. To do this we consider the following commutative diagram with all rows and columns being fibrations of infinite loop spaces:



By [KLW04] (Theorem 2.3.6), the Morava $K(m)$-homology of the bottom row and the middle column give rise to short exact sequences of evenly graded bicommutative Hopf algebras for $m > 0$. It follows that the the Morava $K(m)$-homology of $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z},3)$ injects into the Morava $K(m)$-homology of $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$. Consequently, the left vertical fibration also gives rise to a short exact sequence of evenly graded bicommutative Hopf algebras (see [KLW04] Theorem 4.2 (ii)). Furthermore, we also notice that the Morava $K(m)$-homology of $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ injects into that of $\underline{bu}_6$. As before, it follows that the Morava $K(m)$-homology of the middle row must also give rise to a short exact sequence of evenly graded bicommutative Hopf algebras for $m > 0$.

From the above, we conclude that the spliced sequence, $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}} \longrightarrow \underline{bu}_6 \longrightarrow \underline{bu}_6$, is left exact in $K(m)$-homology for $m > 0$. This proves condition (3) completing the proof of the theorem for $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$.

It therefore remains to establish Theorem 1.3 for the case $Z = \mathrm{BSpin}$. We first observe that the H-map:

$$(1-c) : \mathrm{BSU} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BSU},$$

descends to the (non-equivariantly) homotopy trivial map on $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z},4)$, along the map given by the second Chern class. In particular, we have a (non-equivariant) lift of the H-map:

$$(1-c)' : \mathrm{BSU} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BU}\langle 6\rangle.$$

Recall that the space $\mathrm{BSU} = \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)}$ is homotopy equivalent to a space with the projective property. In addition, the space $\mathrm{BU}\langle 6\rangle = \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{3(1+\alpha)}$ is a space in the Omega spectrum for the complete, real $\mathbb{MU}$-module spectrum $\mathrm{Maps}(E\mathbb{Z}/2_+, \mathbb{BP}\langle 1\rangle)$. It follows from Theorem 2.6 that there is a unique equivariant lift of $(1-c) : \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)}$:



We take our sequence to be

$$\mathrm{BSpin} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{2(1+\alpha)} \xrightarrow{(1-c)'} \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle_{3(1+\alpha)}$$

As above, the right two spaces are complete and have the projective property. By Theorem 6.4 of [KLW04], this sequence satisfies condition (3). It remains to show condition (2). To do this, it is sufficient to show that the following composite is null:

$$(1-c)' \circ i : \mathrm{BSpin} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2(1+\alpha)} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{3(1+\alpha)}.$$

But notice that this is covered by

$$(1-c) \circ i : \mathrm{BSpin} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2(1+\alpha)} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 1\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{2(1+\alpha)}.$$

which is clearly null. It follows that the map $(1-c)' \circ i$ above factors through the space $X := \underline{\mathbb{BP}}\langle 0\rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}_{1+2\alpha}$. As above, the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence computing $\pi_* X$ shows that the top homotopy group of X is in degree 3. To follows that any map from BSpin to the space X is null. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5.3. **Short exact sequences.** Let us now establish the existence of the short exact sequences of completed algebras claimed in Theorem 1.8. We will make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose the sequence $Z_3 \xrightarrow{a} Z_2 \xrightarrow{b} Z_1$ induces a short exact sequence in $E(n)$-cohomology, Z_1 and Z_2 are part of Landweber flat real pairs, and $E(n)^*(Z_3)$ is Landweber flat. Then it also induces a short exact sequence in $ER(n)$-cohomology.*

Proof. The short exact sequence in $E(n)^*(-)$ implies a short exact sequence on the E_1-page of the BSS. For each i, let $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z_i)$ denote the hatted subalgebra of $E(n)^*(Z_i)$ as above. By Theorem 2.9 we have isomorphisms of spectral sequences $E_r^{*,*}(Z_i) = E_r^{*,*}(pt) \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. For $i = 3$, note that we at least have this isomorphism on the E_1-page of the BSS, $E_1^{*,*}(Z_3) = E_1^{*,*}(pt) \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_3)$. Since a^* is a surjection, $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z_2)$, which consists of permanent cycles, maps onto $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z_3)$. Furthermore, since $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z_3)$ is Landweber flat, it follows that we in fact have an isomorphism of spectral sequences, $E_r^{*,*}(Z_3) = E_r^{*,*}(pt) \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_3)$. Since a^* is a surjection on $\hat{E}(n)^*(-)$, it follows from the previous statement that it is a surjection on each page of the BSS. Thus, we have a short exact sequence of spectral sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow E_r^{*,*}(pt) \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_1) \xrightarrow{1\otimes b^*} E_r^{*,*}(pt) \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_2) \xrightarrow{1\otimes a^*} E_r^{*,*}(pt) \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_3) \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, we have a short exact sequence on the E_∞-page. Using the Snake Lemma and induction on filtrations (which are finite), we notice that this must represent a short exact sequence of $ER(n)^*$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(Z_1) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(Z_2) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(Z_3) \longrightarrow 0$$

□

Without loss of generality, let us establish the existence of the short exact sequence of completed algebras:

$$1 \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}) \longrightarrow ER(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle) \longrightarrow 1.$$

The remaining cases will follow exactly along the same lines. First notice that the proof of the previous theorem (or [KLW04]) shows that we have the following short exact sequence of $K(n)$-algebras:

$$1 \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)) \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}) \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Following the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.19 in [RWY98], this gives rise to a short exact sequence of (reduced) $K(n)^*$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}_+ \wedge \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)) \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}_+) \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle_+) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the map $K(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}_+ \wedge \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)) \longrightarrow K(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}_+)$ is induced by the diagonal map on $\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}$ followed by the bottom cohomology class on the second factor. We may use the fact that all spaces in the short exact sequence above have evenly graded $K(n)$-cohomology and invoke Lemma 5.2 to see that one has a short exact sequence in (reduced) $E(n)^*$-modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow E(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}_+ \wedge \mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2,3)) \longrightarrow E(n)^*(\widetilde{\mathrm{BSpin}}_+) \longrightarrow E(n)^*(\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle_+) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The above lemma now implies that we have a short exact sequence in $ER(n)^*(-)$. Applying the Kunneth isomorphism of Theorem 2.12 completes the proof.

Remark 5.5. Note that the above argument does not use functoriality in the spaces Y_i corresponding to the Z_i. In fact, we do not require Z_3 to even be part of a Landweber flat real pair (and we do not know of such a space in the case of $\mathrm{BO}\langle 8\rangle$ for $n > 2$). A choice of Y_i gives a lift of the subalgebra $\hat{E}(n)^*(Z_i)$ of $E(n)^*(Z_i)$ to a subalgebra of $ER(n)^*(Z_i)$ of the same name. In the case of Z_3, we do not have such a canonically defined lift, and we do *not* know of an isomorphism $ER(n)^*(Z_3) = ER(n)^* \otimes_{\hat{E}(n)^*} \hat{E}(n)^*(Z_3)$. Also, nothing in our proof requires the hatted subalgebra of $ER(n)^*(Z_1)$ to map to the hatted subalgebra of $ER(n)^*(Z_2)$. We think of choosing a space with projective property Y corresponding to a space Z as akin to choosing multiplicative generators. Our construction of the short exact sequences is coordinate free in $ER(n)^*(-)$.

REFERENCES

[And64] D. W. Anderson. The real K-theory of classifying spaces. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.*, 51(4):634–636, 1964.

[AM78] S. Araki and M. Murayama τ-cohomology theories *Japan J. Math. (N.S.)*, 4(2): 363-416, 1978

[Ara79a] S. Araki Forgetful spectral sequences *Osaka J. Math.*, 16(1): 173-199, 1979

[Ara79b] S. Araki Orientations in τ-cohomology theories *Japan. J. Math. (N.S.)*, 5(2): 403–430, 1979

[AS69] M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal. Equivariant K-theory and completion. *J. Differential Geometry*, 3:1–18, 1969.

[Ban13] R. Banerjee. On the $ER(2)$-cohomology of some odd-dimensional projective spaces. *Topology and its applications*, 160(12):1395-1405, 2013

[Har91] S. Hara. Note on KO-theory of BO(n) and BU(n). *Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University*, 31(2):487–493, 1991.

[HM15] M. A. Hill and L. Meier. All about $Tmf_1(3)$. Available at: arXiv:1507.08115, 2015.

[HK01] P. Hu and I. Kriz. Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. *Topology*, 40(2):317–399, 2001.

[HS05] M. Hovey and N. Strickland. Comodules and Landweber exact homology theories. *Advances in Mathematics*, 192:427–456, 2005.

[Kri97] I. Kriz. Morava K-theory of classifying spaces: some calculations. *Topology*, 36(6):1247–1273, 1997.

[KS04] I. Kriz and H. Sati. M-theory, type iia superstrings, and elliptic cohomology. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, 8:345–395, 2004.

[KLW04] N. Kitchloo, G. Laures and W. S. Wilson. The Morava K-theory of spaces related to BO. Advances in Math., 189(1): 192–236, 2004.

[KLW16a] N. Kitchloo, V. Lorman and W. S. Wilson. The $ER(2)$-cohomology of $\mathrm{K}(\mathbb{Z}/2^q, 1)$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Available at arXiv:1605.07401, 2016.

[KLW16b] N. Kitchloo, V. Lorman and W. S. Wilson. Multiplicative structures on Real Johnson-Wilson theory. In preparation, 2016.

[KW07a] N. Kitchloo and W. S. Wilson. On fibrations related to real spectra. In M. Ando, N. Minami, J. Morava, and W. S. Wilson, editors, *Proceedings of the Nishida Fest (Kinosaki 2003)*, volume 10 of *Geometry & Topology Monographs*, pages 237–244, 2007.

[KW07b] N. Kitchloo and W. S. Wilson. On the Hopf ring for $ER(n)$. *Topology and its Applications*, 154:1608–1640, 2007.

[KW08a] N. Kitchloo and W. S. Wilson. The second real Johnson-Wilson theory and non-immersions of RP^n. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 10(3):223–268, 2008.

[KW08b] N. Kitchloo and W. S. Wilson. The second real Johnson-Wilson theory and non-immersions of RP^n, Part 2. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 10(3):269–290, 2008.

[KW13] N. Kitchloo and W.S. Wilson. Unstable splittings for real spectra. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 13(2):1053–1070, 2013.

[KW15a] N. Kitchloo and W.S. Wilson. The Morava K-theory of BO(q) and MO(q). *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, to appear, 2015.

[KW15b] N. Kitchloo and W.S. Wilson. The $ER(n)$-cohomology of $BO(q)$ and real Johnson-Wilson orientations for vector bundles *Bulletin of the London Math. Soc.*, to appear, 2015.

[KY93] A. Kono and N. Yagita. Brown-Peterson and ordinary cohomology theories of classifying spaces for compact Lie groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 339(2):781–798, 1993.

[Lan68] P. S. Landweber Conjugations on complex manifolds and equivariant homotopy of MU *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 74:271-274, 1968

[Lan73a] P. S. Landweber. Annihilator ideals and primitive elements in complex cobordism. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 17:273–284, 1973.

[Lan73b] P. S. Landweber. Associated prime ideals and Hopf algebras. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 3:175–179, 1973.

[Lan76] P. S. Landweber. Homological properties of comodules over $MU_*(MU)$ and $BP_*(BP)$. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 98:591–610, 1976.

[LMS] Lewis, Jr., L. G. and May, J. P. and Steinberger, M. and McClure, J. E. Equivariant stable homotopy theory, volume 1213 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. With contributions by J. E. McClure.

[LO] G. Laures and M. Olbermann. $TMF_0(3)$ Characteristic classes for String bundles. Available at arXiv:1403.7301, 2015.

[Lor16] V. Lorman The $ER(n)$-cohomology of $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ Available at arXiv:1509.02101, 2016

[RW80] D. C. Ravenel and W. S. Wilson The Morava K-theories of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces and the Conner-Floyd conjecture *Amer. J. Math.*, 102(4):691–748, 1980.

[RWY98] D. C. Ravenel, W. S. Wilson, and N. Yagita. Brown-Peterson cohomology from Morava K-theory. *K-Theory*, 15(2):149–199, 1998.

[Wil84] W. S. Wilson. The complex cobordism of BO_n. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 29(2):352–366, 1984.

[Wil99] W. S. Wilson. Brown-Peterson cohomology from Morava K-theory, II. *K-theory*, 17:95–101, 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, USA

E-mail address: nitu@math.jhu.edu

E-mail address: vlorman@math.jhu.edu

E-mail address: wsw@math.jhu.edu